

1882-032 Chancery Causes: Samantha Jones tc by tc vs. James F. Jones tc
Lee Co.

Reese, Pridemore, Riddle

CA-Estate Dispute
T-Property

Virginia Lee circuit court SS

To the Honorable Judge of the
said court sitting in chancery, your
oratrix, Sumner, America, and Mary
Jones, infant children and heirs of
Jackson B Jones deceased, who sue
in this action By David & Rees their
next friend, humbly complaining them-
selves to your honor, that James B Jones
pretending to be a creditor of their
father who had died intestate
filed his original Bill in this hon-
orable court against John Riddle
~~and Jones~~ who he alleged was the
Surviving administrator of their
deceased father, and your oratrix
and others, charging that their father's
estate was indebted to him & others
and that the personally would
not pay the debts, nor the decedents
lands would not in five years
renting & praying to refer it to
a commissioner to take an account
& settle the decedents estate, and to
sell so much of the Land as was
due to them as would pay what
such settlement disclosed that the
personal estate would not pay

a guardian ad litem was appointed to defend for your orators and put in for them the usual answer, as they suppose making no particular enquiry in to the facts of their case, nor giving no particular attention to the trust or its progress, such proceedings was had therein as shew'd that the Admin had before that time filed in the Wise circuit or county court a Bill to settle the estate which had progressed to a decree to, and on which a part of their fathers lands in Wise county had been sold, what debts had there been paid, or offered and rejected does not fully and satisfactorily appear. So as it is the said James, F. Jones who was the Administrator of their grand father & grand mother Stephen & Mary, Jones deceased, and who had not settled his accounts as such and was largely in arrears with their deceased father ^{& the wife} on that account having in his hands as such a sum a surplus coming to them

and their father of greatly more
than would pay what he claim
ed off of their fathers estate, was
suffered & permitted to have the
matter of their fathers estate agn
in referred to a commissioner
to take an account, and
involved in large unnecessary
costs & Expenses again, which com
missioner reports the estate of
their father largely involved, a
part of which is a debt found
in favor of James H Jones,
And also reports that the decen
ent to their father owned lands
being three tracts situate in this
county, of the value of \$3000 or \$3500
dollars, that must be sold to
pay their fathers debts, But refers
to the fact that James H Jones
the self is James as aforesaid &
has not settled his accounts
and admits it is probable on
a settlement he will be indebted
to your oratrix, yet said
report is confirmed by the Court
and a decree rendered to sell
the Lands & appointing Col

A. L. Pridemore a special com-
missioner to do so, without
first causing steps to be taken
to settle for F. Jones accounts as
share of S + M Jones & crediting
them with their & their fathers
distributive share of said
estate as they humbly conceive
should have been done, that
is in his hands. now your orators
charge that on a settlement of these
estates their fathers estate does
not owe Jones F. Jones one cent.
But he is largely indebted to
their fathers estate. They further
represent that the three
tracts of land on the north side
of Mullens ridge reported in Lee
County reported by the commis-
sioner as belonging to their fathers
estate & descended to them
and decreed to be sold to pay
his debts does not belong to
their fathers estate, and did
not belong to their father, or
descend from him to them
it is the share of Randolph
Riddle & his wife Malinda Riddle

John M. Cautree & his wife Caroline
and Mrs P Jones three of the heirs
of Stephen Jones, in the real esta-
te of their father Stephen Jones
deceased laid out to them in
the division of said Stephens
lands amongst his heirs, Mrs
P Jones had bought out Rindell
& wife & Cautree & wife's interest
and contracted to sell it & his
own interest to the plfs father
in his life time, But their father
died without ever having
executed the contract of purchase
or paid one cent of the purchase
money, and his estate being
unable to do so, these plfs mother
and grandfather John C. Clinger
Sr, bought it ^{of} and paid the
price \$1300 to Mrs P ~~John~~ Jones after
their fathers death, and to secure
it to them & their mother caused
Mrs P Jones to convey it by deed
to them and their mother the
13th of Nov 1860, which deed is
recorded in deed Book No 15 of
Lee county on page 100, and is
referred to and prayed to be

considered with and as a part
of this Bill. your oratrices further
represents that special Commissioner
A L Pridemore appointed to sell
said lands is proceeding to execute
the same & will sell your oratrices
land unless restrained by the
timely interposition of your
honor, and he had advertised
to sell said land on the 1st day
of this term of your honorable
court, his advertisement was
and is the first actual knowl-
edge they had that these lands
were decreed to be sold, your
oratrices here refers to the Bill
and proceedings thereon, Commis-
sioner Morgans report and pro-
ceedings thereon, and so much of
the case of in the case of
appears in the record of the case
of Wm F Jones vs John Rivelle sur-
viving Administrator of J M Jones & others
now remaining in your honor-
able court, and makes them
Exhibits in this Bill marked (B)
and prays they may be consid-
ered with and as a part hereof.

your oratrices now represents The
said proceedings orders and decrees
rendered & had in said cause of
J H Jones vs J Riddle surviving Adminr
It als, is erroneous, and prejudi-
cial to them and should be
reviewed annulled & reversed for
the following causes 1st after the
wise court took jurisdiction of
the case and proceeded to a
decree, this court had no juris-
diction of the subject and should
not have proceeded in the cause
here 2d Because the proceedings
in the case in the wise circuit
court, was a Bar to all proceed-
ings here and to all persons
who claimed debts here, they should
have been sent to the Wise court
to prove their claims there, where
the record would have shown
whether the same claim had
been presented & allowed or re-
jected there or not, 3d The commis-
~~sion~~ Sioners report should
not have been confirmed
Because debts were allowed aga-
inst the decedents estate on

insufficient evidence as against
the heir to charge the estate, 4th
Because death was allowed that
appeared to be barred by the sta-
tute of limitations, and the
heirs should not have been
charged with them, 5th The Land
or the 3 tracts referred to by the
commissioners report as lying
in Lee County should not
have been decreed to be sold
because these lands were the
heirs not as heirs, but by pur-
chase of which the recorder does
give notice to all the world
6th Because the commission-
ers report disclosed the fact
that James H Jones the pff, was
Admin Borth of Stephen Jones &
Mary Jones, and that their
was most probably money in
his hands as such due the
distributors of said estate
& that the account of Mr Jones
& your pffs were distributors of
said estate & for H Jones as
such as Mr had not settled
his accounts & admitted that

he probably was indebted to them
on that account, notwithstanding
which this court ordered
no steps taken to ascertain what
was due the heirs or their father
on account of said unsettled
estates, but confirmed the
commissioners report ^{alleging} ~~debt~~ ^{against the}
James F. Jones, estate of J. M.
Jones & decreed the lands to
be sold to pay it. For these
and other errors to be hereafter
pointed out & shown to this
honorable court, your orator
prays your honor to review
& reverse all and each one of
said decrees & orders if the same
is deemed & required to be final
orders, & should they be deemed
as interlocutory only to set
such as on examination are
found to be wrong aside, and
enter such orders and decrees
as are proper & right in the prem-
ises, and in the mean time
to inform and restrain James
F. Jones and A. B. Pilsbore your
honors special commissioners.

born selling said three tracts
of Land conveyed as aforesaid to
them and their mother until
the further order of your honorable
court* to that end they make
James Jones & L. Paine
as defendants in this Bill & pray that
they answer the same, and
for general relief in the pre-
mises, and for the costs with
suit of $\$$ to be sent out against
them to compel them to
answer as in duty, &c

Burns Pg

* And should it turn out that
Jackson M Jones has paid any
thing in his life time to Mrs P Jones
towards paying the purchase money
he agreed to give towards said
Lands & that to that extent it
was right to charge it with Jackson
M Jones debts, yet as your patri-
ces maternal grand father paid
By way of a payment to them
 $\$1300$ of the purchase money
due for it, they should not

of the sale if one is unwise
should be repaid the said
\$1300, which they pay, your
lawyer to decree shall be done
before distillation is ordered
amongst their fathers, creditors,

5.41
 15.00
 1.00
 1.00

100
 100
 100

175.00
 202.57

1876 Sept 6th Bill filed
 & injunction granted
 1877 June 1st Executors D. N.
 " " " " " " " " " " " "
 set for hearing by the
 Court & could not
 " " " " " " " " " " " "
 " " " " " " " " " " " "

1881 Aug 1st 6

The Bill

To the Hon John A Kelly Judge of
the Circuit Court of Lee County -

The answer of James L Jones to a bill
of Injunction filed and pending in your
Honorable Court against him ^{and filed in the name of Samantha Jones & others heirs of J M Jones dec.}
(which Injunction was granted restraining

A L Pickens from
preventing to execute a decree by a sale
of land, rendered in a Chancery suit
pending in your Honorable Court wherein
respondent is plaintiff and John Kiddle
admin of the estate of J M Jones, and the
heirs of said J M Jones are defendants.

His answer to said Injunction bill respondent
states that it is true that he filed a bill
against the said heirs of J M Jones
and the administrator of the estate for
the purpose of subjecting certain lands
which descended to the said heirs from
their father J M Jones to sale for a
debt which was and is justly due him.
The bill filed by said brother was
a creditors bill the said heirs were
represented by a guardian ad litem the
cause was regularly motu for hearing,
and an account was ordered to be
taken by Com. H J Morgan who took
took said account after due notice

And the same was returned to Court
and duly confirmed, And to pay and
satisfy the debts found due from
deceit of M^r Jones estate to respondent
and the other Creditors, A L. Prideron was
appointed a special Commissioner and
directed to sell so much of the lands belonging
to said heirs as descended to them from
their father or would be necessary to
pay the same with costs,

The Bill of Injunction sets up three special
grounds or reasons why the said Commissioner
and all others should be restrained from
proceeding under said decree.

1st Because it is alleged that the estate
of J^r M^r Jones does not and did not
at the time of the rendition of the
decree owe him anything.

2nd Because the ~~three tracts~~ of lands
lying on the north side of Wallens
Ridge and decreed to be sold did
not descend to said heirs from
their father but was purchased for them
& paid for by their grandfather and
obliged.

3rd Because a suit had been instituted
in the Circuit Court of this County

by Jno L. Blinger to Convey the Creditors
of decedent J. M. Jones estate, and
inasmuch as the Respondent did not
prove his claim in said suit, ^{it is claimed} he is now
forever barred.

In answer to the first and assigned
respondent states that the account taken
by Comr. Morgan is evidence that the estate
of decedent J. M. Jones is justly indebted
to him, the amount as found by the
Commissioner. The assertion in the
plaintiffs bill that Respondent is
largely indebted to them as the dis-
tributors of the estate of Stephen Jones
and his widow Mary will be found
as grossly untrue and more without
accurate ~~actual~~ knowledge. Indeed in view
of Respondent being indebted on account
of being the representative of his father
Stephen Jones, by the last Settlement made
he is in advance with the estate ~~for \$1000~~
^{and in advance to J. M. Jones as distributors of \$1000}
It is true he may be in debt to some
small extent as the representative of
Mary Jones but when a final Settlement
is made of both estates it will be
found that he is but little if any
in debt to them and otherwise it may be on
a final Settlement he is willing to account for.
In reply to the 2nd error as stated

respondent states that the land which has been conveyed to the widow and heirs of J. M. Jones by Wm. P. Jones, was not purchased and paid for by Jno. C. Atlinger and donated to them by him as alleged in the bill. The fact is this land was purchased by J. M. Jones in his lifetime part of the purchase money paid by him and after his death the residue was paid by Jno. C. Atlinger as his representative and for the payments so made by Jno. C. Atlinger credit has been given him in the settlement of his account as personal representative -

As to the statement of the plaintiffs that a creditors bill had been at one time filed in Wisc. Co. concerning the creditors of decedent Jones respondent states that he is advised that the County of Wisc. was not the proper County wherein to file a bill of such character if such were filed. Because respondent states that the decedent Jones resided at the time of his death in the said County of Lee and it

was in this County - That adminis-
tration of his estate was granted
and in which his personal repre-
sentatives qualified.

But he further states that the
suit referred to as having been instituted
in Wise County by the personal representatives
of J M Jones was not a Creditors bill
^{as he is advised} properly so called, but a bill brought
for the specific purpose by Mrs C. Blinger
one of the representatives, to subject
certain wild wood land to sale
which had been owned by decedent
Jones at his death, These lands were
unproductive and the said Mrs C.
Blinger one of the representatives deemed
it as being to the advantage of
the heirs to have it sold.

Before a sale was made of these wild
lands the said Blinger died, and by a
will made previous to his death
he provided that when these lands
were sold whatever amount they
realize should be applied to the
debt which he held against the estate
and if it did not pay it in full
the residue was released, and
since his death the said Mrs

Commenced through J. C. Pliny
one of the representatives ~~was~~ removed
by Jno Riddle the surviving represen-
tative and the said wild wood-
land sold and the proceeds
thereof although not yet all
collected are appropriated
for the benefit of the said Jno C.
Pliny who as before stated by his
will agreed to take the proceeds of
said wild^{lands} for the amount due
him from said estate, and
this suit in this instance as
aforesaid is still pending and
by the direction of your honor
a copy of the proceedings have
been brought from there and
filed with the suit brought
by your Respondent as aforesaid
against the administrator and
heirs of J. M. Jones dec.

Respondent thinks proper to state
that J. M. Jones heirs own other
lands besides those conveyed to them
by Mrs. Jones and called in the
plaintiffs bill the ~~three~~ ^{last} Tracts
lying on the north side of

Waltham Ridge, In addition to then
the said Jones at his death owned
owned an interest ⁱⁿ of the estate of
his father consisting of $\frac{1}{12}$ part
~~and he also purchased of~~
~~John M. Crocker & Caroline his wife~~
~~and Randolph Middle & Melinda~~
~~his wife~~ he also purchased other
interests from John M. Crocker and
wife which was conveyed to him
the said J M Jones by deed dated
Feb 16th 1858. and which is of
record in the clerk's office of the
County Court of this County B 13
p 548.

The deed made by W^m P Jones as
referred to in the plaintiffs bill it will
be seen conveys an undivided interest
in the lands of Stephen Jones to J M
Jones heirs & widows. Since then
in partition of these lands all
the interests owned by said heirs
have been laid off together
so that there is no specific
part of said lands which can
be referred to as conveyed by the
deed of W^m P Jones. Hence should
it be required by your honor that

The Land thus conveyed by Mr. P.
 Jones to said heirs is not liable
 for their ancestral debts, it would
 become necessary that a Commission
 be appointed to lay off the same
 but without charges that the heirs
 pertaining to the purchase of payment
 for this land are as before set forth,
 He again states that the debt due
 him is just but if there are having
 now answered prays that the
 injunction granted be dissolved, and
 be dismissed with costs,

Hagan & Primmer
 for Plaintiff

J. H. Jones

Ans

Servant the Joneses

Filed Sept 4th 1877

James W. Orr, C. C.

Jos H Jones has this day made oath before
 me that the statements in the foregoing
 answer so far as they depend on his
 own knowledge are true and that
 derived from others he believes to be
 true. Sept 4th 1877

James W. Orr, Clerk.

Sepiaria goes to the 100. Puffs.

247.

1. In May, see a note.

W. S. F. Jones Secy. of Stephen Jones & Co. Agents

This cause came on this day to be heard upon a rule heretofore awarded in the cause and was argued by counsel and it appearing to the court that the Puffs have been served with a copy of said writ and they failing to show any cause why they should not be required to pay the costs of said writ it is adjudged ordered & decreed that the Puffs be & are deemed and that the costs incurred by him in said writ & the cause is stricken from the docket.

Donation for the

no. of Decies

J. H. Jones

Entered May 22nd 1883

J. H. Hyatt
Clerk

Entered

In 1885

Sept 18, 1885

Samantha, America and Mary Jones

Suing by David G. Kees & then next friend self. Plaintiffs

17.

James F. Jones, A. L. Williams & John Riddle

admission of 1 in 100 Sept 6

This cause standing on the docket continued without a disposition of the costs incurred, ~~and the motion of~~
~~def. Mager, for of the case~~ it is ordered that the said David G. Reese, and the said plaintiffs, Samantha, Seneca & Mary, be summoned to appear here at the next term of this Court to shew cause why a decree should not be rendered ^{against} ~~for~~ them for costs incurred herein.

Entered page 221
J. H. Hyatt
March

Enter
J. H. Hyatt
Aug 29/81

Sarwantha Jones et al. Pls
vs
James, H. Jones et al. Dfts } Decree

This cause came on to be heard on the Bill, answer and general replication and Exhibits, and By the consent of the parties by their attorneys. This cause is referred to Judge W. J. Morgan one of the Commissioners of this Court, who after giving D. H. Rice the next hearing of the plfs, and James, H. Jones and John Riddle, Admin of Jackson, M. Jones reasonable notice of when and where he will commence his sittings, must proceed to ascertain and report to this Court, ~~whether or not~~ what part of Stephen Jones decs personal estate remained in the hands of James, H. Jones after paying the decedents debts and reasonable charges of Administration that was due to Jackson, M. Jones or his Admin John Riddle, or to the plfs in this suit. He must then ascertain and report, whether or no said James H. Jones overpaid it over to J. M. Jones, or his admin or the plfs, and if any thing was paid, report to whom paid, and

* But as in account of Mary Jones estate in the hands of her son in another
cause in this court between the parties to this suit and others. The account as to
her estate need not be retained in this, but the general result as shown in
the other case may be in this case relevant to some extent. He must ascertain
if a report whether or not James H. Jones occupied any lands after Mary Jones death
to which the p[er]s have a right, and if so say the value of the p[er]s interest, and
whether or not James H. Jones was indebted to them therefrom.

how much was paid, and to whom
paid, He must then ascertain and
report what amount of the personal
estate of Mary Jones remained
in the hands of her son Jas H Jones
after paying her debts, and the reason-
able costs of administration, due to
the p[er]s in this suit, and whether
or not said J. H. Jones has paid out
any of the sum due them, and if he
has, when and to whom did he
pay it, and how much did he pay,
these matters he must plainly
report to this court, and ~~this~~
~~cause is continued~~, he must
also ascertain and report what lands
descended to the p[er]s from their father,
J. H. Jones, and whether or no the lands
claimed by the p[er]s in their Bill as coming
from their grandfather Obinger, was
purchased or paid for by him, or whether
it was paid for out of the estate
of their father, in their grandfather
Obingers hands, and if partly by
each, how much was paid by each,
and report any other fact by him
deemed pertinent, and this cause
is continued

Ent. page 679.

Just on all.

Enter

L. A. K.

Sept 6/77

Leona and her father
vs.

James & Leona

In Chancery

After the meeting of the Sept
Term of Court made on the 6th day of Sept 1877.
Leave is granted him to file his answer
in this case, and the same is accordingly filed,
and the Court ruled generally, and the cause
is continued until the next term.

Mountain View Valley
N^o 2 Second
Edm^d H. Jones & Son

August 1877

James B. Jones - plf.

John Riddle - def. at l. V
+ L. & C.
John Riddle - def. at l. plf.

ack. in M. Jones - def. at l. V
Parson, American and Mary Jones, infants
who petition by David G. Jones their next friend, this day
filed their bill, which is treated as a petition in these
cases, ~~asking that~~ as to this point, asking that the last
and other decrees rendered in the causes, be opened, and that
they be reheard, and praying an injunction to the decree
for sale of land in the last ~~decree~~ ^{term}. On consideration
whereof the court grants the injunction prayed for to continue
in force till further order, but not to take effect until the
next friend of said infants, shall execute bond to the plaintiff
J. B. Jones for the use of himself and all other creditors on said
land provided for, in the penalty of \$500.⁰⁰ conditioned to
pay, satisfy, all costs assessed against them, and all damages that
said creditors may sustain by the said injunction should the
same be hereafter annulled. And process shall issue in
and full of return against the defendants.

J. Jones et al

Order

J. Jones et al

Continued on p. 547

R. M. O. 17, 16

Cal

not R.

Sept 6, 76

Virginia Lee county Court

David G. Reese states that
the names of the children and heirs
of Caroline Crutcher deceased is
unknown to him, and ~~admits~~
that they together with Wm P Jones
are nonresidents of the State of
Virginia Sept The 4th 1876.

David G. Reese

Sworn to before me in my office on the
4th day of Sept 1876. By D. G. Reese,

James H Orr. Clerk.

Samantha Jones et al

vs

{ ~~Bill~~
affidavit

James H. Jones et
als

I now all men by these presents that me David
G. Reese and Jacob B. Olinger are held and
firmly bound unto James S. Jones in the just and
full sum of Five hundred dollars for the pay-
ment thereof well and truly to be made to the
said James S. Jones his heirs, executors or adminis-
trators, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and
administrators, jointly, and severally, firmly by these
presents. And we hereby make the benefit of our
homestead exemption as to this bond. Witness
our hands and seals, this the 15th day of September 1876.

The condition of the above obligation is such, that
whereas, Samantha, America and Mary Jones in-
fants, but who petitioned by the above bound David
G. Reese, as their next friend, obtained, from the
Circuit Court of Lee County, on the 6th day of September
1876, in the Chancery Causes pending in said Court wherein
James S. Jones is plaintiff and John Riddle Adm^r et al^s defendants,
and John Riddle Adm^r et al^s plaintiffs and Jackson M. Jones' heirs are
defendants, an injunction to the decree for a sale of
certain lands, rendered in one of said Causes at the
March term 1876, of said Court, until the further order
of the Court. Now if the above bound David G.
Reese shall well and truly pay and satisfy all costs
awarded against said petitioners, and all damages
which may be sustained by any person by reason of
the granting of said injunction should the same be here-
after dissolved, then this obligation to be void, other-
wise to remain in full force and virtue.

David G. Reese Seal

Jacob B. Olinger Seal

David G. Reese
To S. Injunction Bond
James H. Jones.

Virginia

At a Circuit Court held for
Lee County, at the Court House there on Monday
August 31st 1881.

Sumner, Francis & Mary Jones by es. Plffs.

v.

in Chancery

Jos. H. Jones, & L. P. Jones vs. the said Sumner, Francis & Mary

This cause standing on the docket contained
without a disposition of the costs incurred, it
is ordered that the said David S. Reese &
the said Plaintiffs Sumner, Francis and
Mary be summoned to appear here at
the next term of this Court, to show
cause, why a decree should not
be rendered against them for costs
incurred herein.

A Copy to

J. H. Hyatt

Clk.

I certify that to the best of my recollection
and belief, I delivered to James H. Hider
Esq. for L. S. Kelly Copies of the above
order to be served on the above named
parties, some time in the month of Sept,
1881.

J. H. Hyatt Clk.

Seventeen American Mary Jones by David G. Reese

their Guardian and next friend

Page

In Reply

James F. Jones et al. vs. Stephen Jones et al. Page

The rule awarded at the last term against the
plaintiffs requiring them to be summoned to appear before
this court at the present term to show cause why a writ
should not be awarded against them for the costs incurred
by them in the prosecution of this suit, not having been
executed, or if executed not returned. It is adjudged that
and decreed that an alias writ be awarded against the
said David G. Reese, American Jones, and Mary Jones, and
Seventeen Johnson and Sanford Johnson his husband
returnable to the next term of this court, till which time this
cause is continued

David G. Reese & Co.

100. } Rule

J. F. Jones & Co. D. Jones & Co.

Entered June 26/1.

J. F. Jones
Clerk

Entered
In C. R.
4/10/12

Virginia

It is decreed that continued need full for
the security of the Court house through April 2^d 1817.
Dorcas, Ann and Mary Jones by W. H. Jones

their Guardian and next friend

Plffs

vs

Defd

W. H. Jones, Son of Stephen Jones & Sarah

The Rule awarded at the last term against
the Plaintiffs, requiring them to be summoned
to appear before this Court at the present term
to show cause why a writ should not be
granted against them for the costs incurred
by them in the prosecution of this suit, not
having been executed as if executed, not
returned. It is adjudged ordered and
decreed that an alias Rule be issued
against the said Wm H Jones, Ann
Jones and Mary Jones and Dorcas Jones
and Dorcas Jones her husband
returnable to the next term of this Court
till which time this cause is continued.

4 Copy Teste

J. J. Hayatt Clerk

Not Executed
for
for want of pay
Dec 17th 1852
L H C, clerk
J W C

Virginia

At a Circuit Court Continued and held for
the County of the Court House March 2^d 1882.
James H. Jones and Mary Jones by David C. Reese
their next friend & guardian — Plffs.

vs
J. H. Jones, Son of Stephen Jones, dec. — Defs.)
The Rule awarded at the last term against
the Plaintiffs, requiring them to be summoned to appear
before this Court at the present term to show cause
why a decree should not be rendered against
them for the costs incurred by them in the
prosecution of this suit, not having been
executed or if executed not returned.
It is adjudged Ordered and decreed that an
alias Rule be awarded against the said David
C. Reese James H. Jones and Mary Jones and
James H. Johnson and Sanford Johnson his
Executors — returnable to the next term of
this Court — till which time this Cause
is Continued.

A Copy, &c. —
J. A. Stewart
Clerk

For
Samuel Johnson

Virginia.

At a Circuit Court continued and held for
the County at the Court House through April 5 1882
between Frances & Mary Jones by David C. Reese
their Counsel and next friend. (Pls.)

vs

Geo. L. H. J.

J. F. Jones & Son. (Defts.)

The Rule awarded at the last term against
the Plaintiffs requiring them to be summoned to appear
before this Court at the present term to show cause
why a decree should not be rendered against
them for the Costs incurred by them in the pro-
secution of this suit, not having been executed
or if executed not returned. It is adjudged
Ordered and decreed that an alias Rule be
awarded against the said David C. Reese.

Frances Jones and Mary Jones and Samantha
Johnson, and Sanford Johnson their husband
returnable to the next term of this Court
till which time this Cause is continued.

(A Copy Left)

J. A. H. Hunt
Clerk

For

Samuel Johnson

Virginia.

In the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County,
the 25th day of April 1877.

Samantha Jones et als leg &c
against-

Plaintiffs

Defendants

In Chancery

Jones & Jones Admr, et als
The object of this suit is to have settlement made of the ^{account of} ~~James H Jones~~ ^{defendants} administrator of the estate of Mary Jones deceased, and distribution made of said estate, and it appearing from an affidavit filed in the cause, that the names of the children and heirs of Caroline Crabtree died, and who are defendants in the cause, are ~~and that they are non residents of this State~~ unknown, it is therefore ordered that they appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit.

A copy

Teste James W Orr, Clerk.

I certify that on Monday the first day of the May term 1877 of the County Court for Lee County, I posted a copy of the order at front door of the Court house of said County, Given under my hand May 1877.

James W Orr, Clerk.

Sumnertha Jones et al.

vs } Order Paid

James S. Jones et al.

Copy to Courtiel, Apr
25th 1877.

Just W. Orr Clerk

610432 - 317.74 272.74

272.74

127116

222453

63558

222453

63558

866.7404.46 (14.19

610432

25631.84

24417.28

1214.564

610432

6.041.326

272.74

256.66

16.08

Mary 14.19 1 +

Maud. 29.22 2 +

W. Hairs 29.22 7 +

H. Hairs 29.22 8 +

J. H. 28.68 3 +

M. H. 28.68 4 +

A. P. 22.72 5 +

J. H. 33.10 6

J. H. 111.63 9

256.66

657.03

272.74

26.652

457821

130806

457821

130806

610432 / 1.783.801.422 (29.22

1220864 + + +

5029374

5493888

1,354,862

1220864

133.9982

1220864

(119.118) same for three

30869

27274

2031.76

356083

101738

356083

101738

610432 / 1387401106 (22.74

1220864 + + +

1.66.5371

1220864

4.445.070

1273024

1.720.468

1220864

(499.602)

645.78

272.74

258312

442046

129156

442046

129156

610432 / 11751200372 (28.68

1220864 + + +

5.303.363

4883456

4,19,9077

3662592

5.364852

4883456

(481396) same for 2--

741.23

272.74

296492

518861

148246

518861

148286

610432 / 2022030.607 (33.10

1831296 + + +

1907343

1831296

760500

610432

(5006.82) same for four

J. F. Jones	2.25	15	928.28	1419
J. M. Jones	2.00	10	772.74	133
Thos. Jones	1.75	05	3713.12	15.52
H. Heins	1.75	05	649796	
H. Heins	1.75	05	185656	
H. Heins	1.75	05	649796	
Wm. Riddle	1.61	05	185656	
W. P. Jones	1.60	05	2.531.79	0872
A. P. Jones	1.50	05	2.441.72	8++
	1420	55	900328	
	55		610432	
	1475		3.898.967	
	133		3662592	
	1608		2363752	
			1831296	
			532456	same J. F. Jones

1608
1475
133

W. B. Heins 150.24
Thos. " 150.24
J. M. Jones 150.24
Thos. Jones 174.35
Wm. Riddle 174.35
H. B. Heins 150.24
A. P. Jones 150.24
J. F. Jones 198.10
129800

150.31

889.07. 10294. 1298.57

1298.57	
10294	
5194.28	
1168713	
259714	
129857	
889.07	146.660.4958 (164)
	889.07
	577.534
	533442
	440.929
	355628
	85.801
129857	
119.37	
908999	
389571	
1168713	
129857	
24855	
889.07	155.010.300 (174.35)
	889.07
	661033
	622319
	386840
	355628
	312.120
	2667.21
	453.999
	144535
	(946120)
129857	
13563	
389571	
779142	
649285	
389571	
129857	
889.07	176.125.0491 (198.10)
	889.07
	872.180
	800163
	720174
	711256
	89.189
	889.07
	2821 rem

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the SHERIFF of Lee County---Greeting:

We COMMAND You to Summon *James H Jones, A.L. Pridemore*
Commissioner, & John Riddle Admr of Jackson Mr
Jones decd,

to appear before the Judge of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court-House, in
the Clerk's Office, at *June* Rules next, to answer a bill in Chancery,
exhibited in our said Court, against *them by Samantha, America,*
& Mary Jones infants, and who sue by David G Reese
their next friend.

And have then there this writ. Witness, James W. Orr, Clerk of our said Court, at
the Court-House, this *25th* day of *April* 1877, in the 10th year of
the Commonwealth.

James W Orr, Clerk.

The object of this suit, together with other things,
is to enjoin and restrain the defendants James H
Jones & A. L. Pridemore Comrs from selling the three
tracts of land in plaintiffs bill mentioned, until the
further order of the Court, bond with security
having been given as required by law.

Teste - James Worr. Clerk.

LB
Samueltha Jones et
- als
vs
In Chancery.
James H Jones et al.
June Rules 1877.
Entered on Dines.
H Jones John Riddle
J H & Pridemore Coms
V C Scott D S for
Thomas S Ely S F C.

The Commonwealth of Virginia :

TO THE SHERIFF OF LEE

COUNTY—GREETING:

We command you to summon

James H Jones and Jonathan Hyatt

to appear at the clerk's office of the county court of Lee, at the court house, on the first Monday in *April* next, being Rule day, to answer a bill in

chancery exhibited in our said court against *them* by *Daniel C. Reese & Ammin*
to his wife, America Jones, Samantha Jones, & Mary Jones the
last named three are infants and sue by Daniel C. Reese their
next friend

and unless *they* shall answer the said bill within one month thereafter, the same will be taken for confessed, and the said court will decree accordingly. And have then there this writ. Witness, JAS. W. ORR, clerk of our said court at the court house, the *30th* day of *March* 187³, in the *97th* year of the Commonwealth.

James W Orr Clerk.

121 P.

David C. Reese et als

vs Spain Chy.

James H. Jones et als

April Rules 1873

Executed by delivering to
James H. Jones & Jonathan
H. Smith a true copy of the
within C. L. Hamilton
S. L. H.